arXiv:0708.0431v2 [math.AG] 17 May 2010

THE RANK OF THE CARTIER OPERATOR ON CYCLIC COVERS OF THE PROJECTIVE LINE

ARSEN ELKIN

Abstract. We give a bound on the a-numbers of the Jacobian varieties of Kummer covers of the projective line in terms of their ramification data and the characteristic of the base field.

1. Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, and, for an integer $g \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ denote the moduli stack of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g defined over k. Space $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ can be stratified according to the invariants of the kernel of multiplication by p map of the abelian varieties, considered as a group scheme, such as p-rank, Newton polygon, and Ekedahl-Oort type. These stratifications can then be induced onto any subfamily of abelian varieties. For example, the points of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$ of smooth projective curves of genus g defined over k can be considered as a locus in $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ via the Torelli morphism and thereby $\mathcal{M}_g$ can be stratified by invariants of the p-torsion of the Jacobian varieties of such curves.

One of such invariants is the *a-number* a_X of an abelian variety X, defined as

$$a_X := \dim_k \operatorname{Hom}(\alpha_p, X[p]),$$

where α_p is the kernel of the Frobenius endomorphism on the group scheme $\mathbb{G}_a$ ($\alpha_p \cong \operatorname{Spec}(k[x]/x^p)$ as a scheme), and the group scheme $X[p]$ is the kernel of multiplication by p on X. When $X = J(C)$, the Jacobian variety of a curve C, we write a_C instead of $a_{J(C)}$ and refer to it as the a-number of C.

The a-number plays the following role in the Ekedahl-Oort stratification of $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$, which is known [10] to be the stratification by the isomorphism classes of the p-torsion. For an abelian variety X of dimension g there exists [9] an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1_X) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \to \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1_X) \to 0,$$

via which one can consider $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$ to be a vector subspace of the de Rham cohomology space $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$. If V is the Verschiebung operator on $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$, then $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1_X) = V\,\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$ is the g-dimensional space of the canonical filtration [6] of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$. Therefore, if $\nu_X = [\nu_{X,1}, \ldots, \nu_{X,g}]$ denotes the final type of X, then $\nu_{X,g} := \dim(V\,\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1_X))$. It is known [8, (5.2.8)] that a_X equals the dimension

---

Date: May 18, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14H40 Secondary: 11G10.

Key words and phrases. Kummer covers, hyperelliptic curves, Cartier operator, a-number.

The author was partially supported by the Marie Curie Incoming International Fellowship PIIF-GA-2009-236606.

of the kernel of the action of Verschiebung on $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega^1_X)$ and therefore

$$\nu_{X,g} = g_X - a_X.$$

Of a particular interest are abelian varieties X for which $g_X = a_X$ or, equivalently, whose Ekedahl-Oort final type is $[0,\dots,0]$. These are precisely [8, 1.6] the abelian varieties that are *isomorphic* to a self-product of a supersingular elliptic curve, and are known as the *superspecial* abelian varieties.

For an integer $0 \le a \le g$, let us write $T_{g,a}$ for the locus in $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ of points corresponding to abelian varieties X with $a_X \ge a$ or, equivalently, $\nu_{X,g} \le g-a$ in the final type of X. For example, $T_{g,g}$ is the locus of superspecial abelian varieties. A result of Re [11] states that if

$$g - a < \frac{2g}{p(p+1)} + \frac{p-1}{p+1},$$

then $\mathcal{M}_g \cap T_{g,a}$ is empty. Let $\mathcal{H}_g$ denote the locus in $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ of points corresponding to Jacobian varieties of hyperelliptic curves of genus g defined over k. Re also proves that if

$$g - a \le \frac{g}{p} - \frac{p+1}{2p},$$

then $\mathcal{H}_g \cap T_{g,a}$ is empty.

In this paper, we reexamine these results for the subloci of $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ corresponding to Jacobian varieties of Kummer covers of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ and, in particular, obtain improved bounds for such curves, including the hyperelliptic curves. We make extensive use of the fact [9] that for a smooth projective curve C the action of Verschiebung on $\mathrm{H}^0(J(C),\Omega^1_{J(C)}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(C,\Omega^1_C)$ coincides with the action of the Cartier operator [4] on $\mathrm{H}^0(C,\Omega^1_C)$.

Let $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be a smooth branched cyclic cover of order n relatively prime to p. Denote by $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r \in \mathbb{P}^1_k(k)$ the branch points of π. Then C is the projectivization of an affine curve given by equation

$$y^n = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ \alpha_j\neq\infty}}^{r} (x-\alpha_j)^{n_j}$$

for some integers $0 < n_j < n$. If $\alpha_j = \infty$ for some $1 \le j \le r$, then there is a unique choice of $0 < n_j < n$ so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} n_j \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

If π is not ramified over infinity, this congruence is automatically satisfied. If C is connected, which we assume to be the case throughout this paper, then the greatest common divisor $(n, n_1, \dots, n_r) = 1$. The integers $n_1,\dots,n_r$ are well-defined up to a permutation and simultaneous multiplication by a unit in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. The tuple $\mathbf{n} = (n; n_1, \dots, n_r)$ is referred to [2, 3] as the *(ramification) type* of π, or of C. The genus g_C of C is given [7, (4)] by the formula

$$g_C = \frac{1}{2}n(r-1) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{r}(n, n_j) + 1.$$

Given a valid ramification type $\mathbf{n}$, we write $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}^1_k,\mathbf{n}}$ for the locus in $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ of points corresponding to the Jacobian varieties of smooth cyclic covers of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ of type $\mathbf{n}$, where g is the genus of curves of type $\mathbf{n}$. For example, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}^1_k,\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{H}_g$ for $\mathbf{n} := (2; \underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{2g+2 \text{ times}})$.

Fix a primitive nth root of unity $\zeta \in k$. Then there exists a generator δ of $\mathrm{Gal}(C/\mathbb{P}^1_k)$ acting on the closed points of C by $(x,y) \mapsto (x, \zeta^{-1}y)$. By functoriality, δ induces an automorphism δ^* of the vector space $\mathrm{H}^0(C,\Omega^1_C)$, and

$$\mathrm{H}^0(C,\Omega^1_C) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{D}_i, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_i$ denotes the ζ^i-eigenspace of δ^*. The dimensions $d_i := \dim(\mathcal{D}_i)$ of these spaces are given by

$$d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \langle in_j/n \rangle - 1. \tag{1.2}$$

In particular, $d_0 = 0$. Here, $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ denotes the ceiling function, $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ denotes the floor function, and $\langle\cdot\rangle$ is the fractional part. For example, $\langle m/n\rangle n$ is the reduction of m modulo n.

Finally, the Cartier operator satisfies $\mathscr{C}(\zeta^{pi}\omega) = \zeta^i\mathscr{C}(\omega)$, and therefore

$$\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{D}_{\langle pi/n\rangle n}) \subset \mathcal{D}_i$$

for all $1 \le i < n$. Since $(p,n) = 1$, the function $i \mapsto \langle pi/n\rangle n$ is a permutation on the set $\{1,\dots,n-1\}$, and we denote its inverse by σ. In other words, $\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{D}_i) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\sigma(i)}$.

Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be a Kummer cover of type $(n; n_1,\dots,n_r)$ defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Define d_i and $\sigma(i)$ as above. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \min\left(2\lfloor d_i/p\rfloor, d_{\sigma(i)}\right) \le g_C - a_C \le \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \min(d_i, d_{\sigma(i)}).$$

As a particular case, we almost double Re's bound for hyperelliptic curves, for which $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathrm{H}^0(C,\Omega^1_C)$, and so $d_1 = g$.

Corollary 1.2. *If $p > 2$ and integers $0 \le a \le g$ satisfy*

$$g - a \le \frac{2g}{p} - 2,$$

then $\mathcal{H}_g \cap T_{g,a}$ is empty.

In addition, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let C be a superspecial Kummer cover of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ of ramification type $(n; n_1,\dots,n_r)$. Then for each $1 \le i \le n-1$, one has $\dim(\mathcal{D}_i) < p$. In particular, $g_C \le (p-1)(n-1)$.*

For $n < (p+1)/2$ this bound is an improvement over the bound $g \le p(p-1)/2$ in [5]. Even though the latter result is sharp for smooth curves in general, examples of sharpness come from certain Fermat curves that fall outside the class of curves we consider.

We also prove that the a-number of a Kummer curve in characteristic 2 depends only on the ramification type of the curve, and not on the location of the branch points.

Corollary 1.4. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p = 2$, and C be a Kummer cover of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ of ramification type $(n; n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ defined over k. Then*

$$a_C = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \min(d_i, d_{\sigma(i)}).$$

This article is structured as follows. Section 2 contains a review of the structure of the space $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$ of regular differential forms on C. In Section 3, we compute the action of the Cartier operator operator on $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$. Finally, Section 4 contain the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3

The author would like to thank Dr. Rachel Pries for her guidance and insightful discussions on the subject of this work, and the referee for helpful suggestions and further inspiration.

2. REGULAR DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

First, we examine the action of the group $\mu_n(k)$ of nth roots of unity in k on $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$. The entire contents of this section can be found in [3] and [7], but for clarity we restate them here without proofs.

Let $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be a connected branched cyclic cover of order n (not necessarily prime) that is relatively prime to the characteristic p of an algebraically closed field of definition k of C. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1_k(k)$ be the branching locus of the map π. It is a well-known fact of the Kummer theory that C has an affine model given by an equation $y^n = f(x)$, where $f \in k(x)$. After a change of variables, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $f \in k[x]$ and

$$f(x) = \prod_{\alpha \in B - \{\infty\}} (x - \alpha)^{n_\alpha}$$

for some integers $1 \le n_\alpha < n$. If π is not ramified over $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1_k(k)$, then

$$\sum_{\alpha \in B} n_\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{n}. \tag{2.1}$$

The converse is also true. Therefore, if $\infty \in B$, we can find a unique integer $1 \le n_\infty < n$ such that congruence (2.1) is satisfied. The tuple $(n; n_\alpha \mid \alpha \in B)$ is the ramification type of C. For convenience, we set $n_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha \notin B$ and denote $\deg(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in B - \{\infty\}} n_\alpha$ by N. Note that from congruence (2.1) it follows that $(n, n_\infty) = (n, N)$.

By examining the divisor of $\prod_{\alpha \in k} (x - \alpha)^{j_\alpha} dx/y^i$ for $0 \le i < n$ and a choice of $j_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha \in k$ with only finitely many $j_\alpha \neq 0$ it can be seen that this differential form lies in $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$ if and only if j_α satisfy

$$(j_\alpha + 1)n \quad > \quad in_\alpha \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in k\text{, and} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha \in k} j_\alpha + 1 \right) n \quad < \quad iN. \tag{2.3}$$

If we define

$$j_{\min,\alpha,i} := \lfloor in_\alpha / n \rfloor,$$

then condition (2.2) becomes $j \geq j_{\min,\alpha,i}$. Note that $j_{\min,\alpha,i} \geq 0$, and that $j_{\min,\alpha,i} = 0$ whenever $n_\alpha = 0$ (i.e., $\alpha \notin B$). Therefore it is possible to define polynomials

$$h_{\min,i}(x) := \prod_{\alpha\in k} (x-\alpha)^{j_{\min,\alpha,i}}$$

for each $0 \leq i < n$. We have $\deg(h_{\min,i}) = \sum_{\alpha\in k} j_{\min,\alpha,i}$. Condition (2.3) can be rewritten as $\sum_{\alpha\in k} j_\alpha \leq j_{\max,i}$, where

$$j_{\max,i} := \lceil iN/n \rceil - 2.$$

We conclude that $h(x)h_{\min,i}(x)dx/y^i \in \mathrm{H}^0(C,\Omega^1_C)$ for some $h \in k(x)$ if and only if $h \in k[x]$ and $\deg(h) \leq j_{\max,i} - \deg(h_{\min,i})$. For example, for $i = 0$ this condition becomes $\deg(h) \leq -1$. We set

$$\omega_{j,1} := h_{\min,i}(x)dx/y^i.$$

If we define subspaces $\mathcal{D}_i \subset \mathrm{H}^0(C,\Omega^1_C)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_i := \{h(x)\,\omega_{j,1} \mid h \in k[x],\ \deg(h) \leq j_{\max,i} - \deg(h_{\min,i})\}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(\mathcal{D}_i) &= j_{\max,i} - \deg(h_{\min,i}) + 1\\
&= \lceil iN/n \rceil - \sum_{\alpha\in B-\{\infty\}} \lfloor in_\alpha/n \rfloor - 1\\
&= \sum_{\alpha\in B} in_\alpha/n - \sum_{\alpha\in B} \lfloor in_\alpha/n \rfloor - 1\\
&= \sum_{\alpha\in B} \langle in_\alpha/n \rangle - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

By a counting argument, it can be shown that

$$\mathrm{H}^0(C,\Omega^1_C) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{D}_i.$$

and so $\mathcal{D}_i$ are precisely the eigenspaces defined in Section 1, with $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{0\}$. Differential forms

$$\omega_{i,j} := x^{j-1}\omega_{j,1} \tag{2.4}$$

with $1 \leq j \leq d_i := \dim(\mathcal{D}_i)$ form a basis of $\mathcal{D}_i$.

3. Cartier Operator

In [4], Cartier defines an operator $\mathscr{C}$ on the sheaf Ω^1_C satisfying the following properties

1. $\mathscr{C}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = \mathscr{C}(\omega_1) + \mathscr{C}(\omega_2)$,
2. $\mathscr{C}(h^p\omega) = h\mathscr{C}(\omega)$,
3. $\mathscr{C}(dz) = 0$,
4. $\mathscr{C}(dz/z) = dz/z$,

for all local sections ω, ω_1, ω_2 of Ω^1_C and z of $\mathcal{O}_C$. The first two properties are collectively referred to as p^{-1}-linearity. It follows that for a local section

$$\omega = (h_0^p + h_1^p z + \ldots + h_{p-1}^p z^{p-1})dz/z$$

of Ω^1_C with nonzero z, we have

$$\mathscr{C}(\omega) = h_0 dz/z.$$

This operator induces a p^{-1}-linear map

$$\mathscr{C} : \mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C) \to \mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C).$$

If one defines $\operatorname{rank}(\mathscr{C}) := \dim_k \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega^1_C))$, then

$$a_C = g_C - \operatorname{rank}(\mathscr{C}).$$

Define integer-valued functions ϵ and σ on $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ as follows.

1. $1 \le \sigma(i) \le n-1$ is the unique integer satisfying $p\sigma(i) \equiv i \pmod{n}$.
2. $0 \le \epsilon(i) \le p-1$ is the unique integer satisfying $n\epsilon(i) \equiv -i \pmod{p}$.

Then

$$p\sigma(i) - n\epsilon(i) = i. \tag{3.1}$$

Indeed, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, $p\sigma(i) - n\epsilon(i) \equiv i \pmod{pn}$, and equality (3.1) follows from the bounds on $\sigma(i)$ and $\epsilon(i)$.

Remark 3.1. By composing $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ with a suitable automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ we can obtain a covering of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ isomorphic to C that is not ramified over $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1_k(k)$. Since the a-number is an isomorphism invariant, we may assume, without loss of generality, that π is not branched over ∞, and therefore n divides N. Further, we make that assumption.

We will require the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *For all $0 < i < n$, rational function*

$$h_{\mathrm{rnk},i} := f^{\epsilon(i)} h_{\min,i} / h^p_{\min,\sigma(i)} \in k(x)$$

is a polynomial with

$$\deg(h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}) = pd_{\sigma(i)} - d_i + p - 1$$

all of whose zeros have orders less than p.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ord}_\alpha(h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}) &= \epsilon(i)\operatorname{ord}_\alpha(f) + \operatorname{ord}_\alpha(h_{\min,i}) - p\operatorname{ord}_\alpha(h_{\min,\sigma(i)}) \\
&= \epsilon(i)n_\alpha + j_{\min,\alpha,i} - pj_{\min,\alpha,\sigma(i)} \\
&= \epsilon(i)n_\alpha + j_{\min,\alpha,p\sigma(i)-n\epsilon(i)} - pj_{\min,\alpha,\sigma(i)} \\
&= \epsilon(i)n_\alpha + \lfloor (p\sigma(i) - n\epsilon(i))n_\alpha/n \rfloor - p\lfloor \sigma(i)n_\alpha/n \rfloor \\
&= \lfloor p\sigma(i)n_\alpha/n \rfloor - p\lfloor \sigma(i)n_\alpha/n \rfloor.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $0 \le \operatorname{ord}_\alpha(h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}) \le p-1$, as claimed. Notice that if $n_\alpha = 0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_\alpha(h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}) = 0$. In other words, polynomial $h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}$ has a zero at $\alpha \in k$ only if $\alpha \in B - \{\infty\}$.

Finally, we know that $d_i = j_{\max,i} - \deg(h_{\min,i}) + 1$, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg(h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}) &= N\epsilon(i) + j_{\max,i} - d_i + 1 \\
&\quad -p(j_{\max,\sigma(i)} - d_{\sigma(i)} + 1) \\
&= pd_{\sigma(i)} - d_i \\
&\quad +N\epsilon(i) + (\lceil N(p\sigma(i) - n\epsilon(i))/n \rceil - 1) - p(\lceil N\sigma(i)/n \rceil - 1) \\
&= pd_{\sigma(i)} - d_i + p - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *For $0 < i < n$, define polynomials $f_{i,0}, \ldots, f_{i,p-1} \in k[x]$ by decomposition*

$$h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} f_{i,t}(x)^p x^t, \tag{3.2}$$

where $h_{\mathrm{rnk},i} \in k[x]$ is defined in Lemma 3.2. Then ideal $(f_{i,0}, \ldots, f_{i,p-1})$ is the unit ideal of $k[x]$.

Proof. Indeed, a common root of $f_{i,0}, \ldots, f_{i,p-1}$ would be a root of $h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}(x)$ of order no less than p, contradicting Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ and*

$$C : y^n = f(x)$$

be a Kummer cover of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ defined k with the roots of polynomial $f \in k[x]$ having order less than n. Let $\omega_{i,j}$ be given by (2.4), and polynomials $f_{i,0}, \ldots, f_{i,p-1}$ be defined by decomposition (3.2). Then

$$\mathscr{C}\left(\omega_{i,j}\right) = x^{\lfloor j/p \rfloor} f_{i,\langle -j/p \rangle_p}(x)\, \omega_{\sigma(i),1}.$$

Proof. (after [12]) By equality (3.1), $y^{p\sigma(i)-i} = y^{n\epsilon(i)} = f(x)^{\epsilon(i)}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{C}\left(\omega_{i,j}\right) &= \mathscr{C}\left(x^{j-1} h_{\min,i}(x) dx / y^i\right) \\
&= \mathscr{C}\left(x^{j-1} h_{\min,i}(x) f^{\epsilon(i)}(x) dx / y^{p\sigma(i)}\right) \\
&= \frac{x^{\lfloor j/p \rfloor} h_{\min,\sigma(i)}(x)}{y^{\sigma(i)}} \mathscr{C}\left(x^{\langle j/p \rangle_p} h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}(x)\, \frac{dx}{x}\right) \\
&= x^{\lfloor j/p \rfloor} f_{i,\langle -j/p \rangle_p}(x)\, \omega_{\sigma(i),1}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 3.5. Since $j \le d_i$, we have $\lfloor j/p \rfloor \le \lfloor d_i/p \rfloor$. Also note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg(f_{i,j}) &\le \deg(f_{i,\langle (-d_i-1)/p \rangle_p}) \\
&= \lfloor \deg(h_{\mathrm{rnk},i})/p \rfloor \\
&= d_{\sigma(i)} - \lfloor d_i/p \rfloor,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \le j \le p-1$, since the leading term of $f_{i,\langle (-d_i-1)/p \rangle_p}$ comes from the leading term of $h_{\mathrm{rnk},i}$.

4. Rank of the Cartier operator

In proving Theorem 1.1 we will require the following technical result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in k[x]$ be polynomials such that $(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ is the unit ideal of $k[x]$, and let $m \ge 0$ be an integer. Put $d := \max_{1 \le i \le r}(\deg(f_i))$. If we define a vector subspace V of $k[x]$ by*

$$V := \left\{ f_1 g_1 + \ldots + f_r g_r \mid g_1, \ldots, g_r \in k[x],\ \deg(g_j) < m \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le r \right\},$$

then

$$\dim(V) \ge \min(2m, m + d).$$

Proof. Further, we assume, without loss of generality, that none of f_i are zero, and that $\deg(f_1) = d$. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $h_i \in k[x]$ be the greatest common divisor of $f_1, \ldots, f_i$, i.e., the monic polynomial satisfying $(h_i) = (f_1, \ldots, f_i)$. Also define vector subspaces

$$V_i := \{f_1 g_1 + \ldots + f_i g_i \mid g_1, \ldots, g_i \in k[x],\ \deg(g_j) < m \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq i\}$$

of V. Thus we obtain a flag $V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_r = V$ of V. Notice that statements of linear dependence in V_i are equivalent to those of existence of syzygies $f_1 g_1 + \ldots + f_i g_i = 0$ with $\deg(g_i) < m$ and not all of $g_i \in k[x]$ equal to zero.

We proceed by induction. Obviously, $\dim(V_1) = m$. In addition, $h_1 = g_1 \in V_1$. Suppose that $h_i \in V_i$. Then $V_i = \{h_i g \mid g \in k[x],\ \deg(g) < \dim(V_i)\}$. There exist nonzero polynomials u and v of lowest degree such that $h_i u = f_{i+1} v$. Since $(h_{i+1}) = (h_i, f_{i+1})$, we have $(h_i/h_{i+1})u = (f_{i+1}/h_{i+1})v$ with h_i/h_{i+1} and f_{i+1}/h_{i+1} being relatively prime polynomials. By the minimality condition imposed on the degrees of u and v, these polynomials are constant multiples of f_{i+1}/h_{i+1} and h_i/h_{i+1}, respectively. The space

$$\{f_{i+1} g \mid g \in k[x],\ \deg(g) < \deg(v) = \deg(h_i/h_{i+1})\}$$

intersects space V_i trivially, since otherwise there would exist a nonzero polynomial g of degree less than that of v such that $f_{i+1} g \in V_i \subset (h_i)$, which would be a contradiction. If $\deg(v) \geq m$, then subspaces

$$W_{i+1} := \{f_{i+1} g \mid g \in k[x],\ \deg(g) < m\}$$

and V_i of V intersect trivially. Therefore, $V_{i+1} = V_i \oplus W_{i+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(V) &\geq \dim(V_{i+1}) \\ &= \dim(V_i) + \dim(W_{i+1}) \\ &\geq \dim(V_1) + m \\ &= 2m,\end{aligned}$$

and we are done.

On the other hand, if $\deg(v) < m$, then

$$W_{i+1} := \{f_{i+1} g \mid g \in k[x],\ \deg(g) < \deg(v)\}$$

intersects V_i trivially, and $V_{i+1} = V_i \oplus W_{i+1}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(V_{i+1}) &= \dim(V_i) + \dim(W_{i+1}) \\ &= \dim(V_i) + \deg(v) \\ &= \dim(V_i) + \deg(h_i) - \deg(h_{i+1}) \\ &= \dim(V_1) + \deg(h_1) - \deg(h_{i+1}),\end{aligned}$$

the last equality following by induction on i and telescoping of the degrees. In addition, h_{i+1}, which is a constant multiple of vh_i, lies in V_{i+1}. If the latter outcome holds for every $1 \leq i \leq r$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(V) &= \dim(V_r) \\ &= \dim(V_1) + \deg(h_1) - \deg(h_r) \\ &= m + d,\end{aligned}$$

since $h_1 = f_1$ and $h_r = 1$. □

Remark 4.2. In Lemma 4.1, if $r = 1$ or 2, then a strict equality $\dim(V) = \min(2m, m + d)$ holds. Indeed, if $r = 1$, then f_1 is a nonzero constant, $d := \deg(f_1) = 0$, and $\dim(V) = m = m + d$.

If $r = 2$, let u and v be nonzero polynomials of smallest degree such that $f_1u = f_2v$. Since $(f_1, f_2) = 1$, u and v are constant multiples of f_2 and f_1, respectively. One has $V = V_2$, and by the inductive step of Lemma 4.1 either $m < d$ and $\dim(V_2) = 2m$, or $d \leq m$ and $\dim(V_2) = m + d$.

Corollary 4.3. *With all terms as defined in the previous sections,*

$$\min\left(2\lfloor d_i/p\rfloor, d_{\sigma(i)}\right) \leq \dim(\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{D}_i)) \leq \min(d_i, d_{\sigma(i)}).$$

Proof. Combine Lemma 3.2, Corollary 3.3, Theorem 3.4, and Lemma 4.1. Apply the latter to polynomials $f_{i,0}, \ldots, f_{i,p-1}$, using $m = \lfloor d_i/p\rfloor$ and $d = d_{\sigma(i)} - \lfloor d_i/p\rfloor$, chosen so due to Remark 3.5.

The second inequality follows from the fact that the dimension of the image of a p^{-1}-linear map does not exceed the dimensions of the domain and codomain. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From direct sum decomposition (1.1) it follows that

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathscr{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dim(\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{D}_i)).$$

Now apply Corollary 4.3 and [8, (5.2.8)]. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. One has

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= \sum_{\alpha\in B} \langle i n_\alpha/n\rangle - 1 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in B} \langle (p\sigma(i) - n\epsilon(i))n_\alpha/n\rangle - 1 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in B} \langle p\sigma(i)n_\alpha/n\rangle - 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha\in B} p\langle \sigma(i)n_\alpha/n\rangle - 1 \\ &= pd_{\sigma(i)} + p - 1, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\lfloor d_i/p\rfloor \leq d_{\sigma(i)}$. Thus, if $d_i \geq p$, then $\min(2\lfloor d_i/p\rfloor, d_{\sigma(i)}) \geq 1$ and $g_C - a_C \geq 1$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Recall that $p = 2$. If d_i is even, then $2\lfloor d_i/p\rfloor = d_i$ and, by Corollary 4.3, $\dim(\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{D}_i)) = \min(d_i, d_{\sigma(i)})$.

Suppose that d_i is odd. Then $\langle(-d_i - 1)/p\rangle p = 0$, and therefore $\deg(f_{i,0}) \geq \deg(f_{i,1})$. Apply Remark 4.2 to $f_{i,0}$ and $f_{i,1}$ with $d = \deg(f_{i,0})$ and $m = (d_i + 1)/2$. The dimension of the vector space

$$V = \langle f_{i,0}g_0 + f_{i,1}g_1 \mid g_i \in k[x], \deg(g_i) < m \text{ for } i = 0, 1\rangle$$

equals $\min(2m, m+d)$. But $2m = d_i + 1$ and $m+d = (\lfloor d_i/p\rfloor + 1) + (d_{\sigma(i)} - \lfloor d_i/p\rfloor) = d_{\sigma(i)} + 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{D}_i)) &\geq \dim(V) - 1 \\ &= \min(d_i, d_{\sigma(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

But by Corollary 4.3, $\dim(\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{D}_i)) \leq \min(d_i, d_{\sigma(i)})$. We again obtain equality $\dim(\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{D}_i)) = \min(d_i, d_{\sigma(i)})$, and the desired formula follows by direct sum decomposition (1.1). $\square$

References

[1] M. H. Baker, *Cartier points on curves*, International Math. Research Notices **7** (2000), 353–370.

[2] I. Bouw, *Construction of covers in positive characteristic via degeneration.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 3169–3176

[3] I. Bouw, Tame covers of curves: p-ranks and fundamental groups, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Utrecht, 1998.

[4] P. Cartier, *Une nouvelle opération sur les formes différentielles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957), 426–428.

[5] T. Ekedahl, On supersingular curves and abelian varieties, Math. Scand. **60** (1978), 151–178.

[6] G. van der Geer, *Cycles on the moduli space of abelian varieties.* In: Moduli of curves and abelian varieties. Ed: C. Faber & E. Looijenga. Aspects Math., E33, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1999; 65–89.

[7] J. K. Koo, *On holomorphic differentials of some algebraic function field of one variable over* $\mathbb{C}$, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **43** (1991), 399–405

[8] K.-Z. Li and F. Oort. *Moduli of supersingular abelian varieties*, volume 1680 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[9] T. Oda, *The first de Rham cohomology group and Dieudonné modules*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **2** (1969), 63-135

[10] F. Oort, A stratification of a moduli space of abelian varieties. In *Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999)*, volume 195 of Progr. Math., pages 345–416. Birkhauser, Basel, 2001.

[11] R. Re, *The Rank of the Cartier Operator and Linear Systems on Curves*, Journ. of Alg. **236** (2001), 80–92.

[12] N. Yui, *On the jacobian varieties of hyperelliptic curves over fields of characteristic* $p > 2$. J. Algebra **52** (1978), 378–410.

Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, United States

Current address: Institute of Mathematics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV47AL, United Kingdom

E-mail address: `A.Elkin@warwick.co.uk`